# Decentralized Propaganda in the Era of Digital Media: The Massive Presence of the Chinese State on Douyin\*

Yingdan Lu<sup>†</sup> Jennifer Pan<sup>‡</sup> Xu Xu<sup>§</sup> Yiqing Xu<sup>¶</sup>

Janurary 19, 2025

(Conditionally Accepted, American Journal of Political Science)

#### Abstract

The rise of social media in the digital era poses unprecedented challenges to authoritarian regimes that aim to influence public attitudes and behaviors. To address these challenges, we argue that authoritarian regimes have adopted a decentralized approach to produce and disseminate propaganda on social media. In this model, tens of thousands of government workers and insiders are mobilized to produce and disseminate propaganda, and content flows in a multidirectional, rather than a top-down manner. We empirically demonstrate the existence of this new model in China by creating a novel dataset of over five million videos from over 18,000 regime-affiliated accounts on Douyin, a popular social media platform in China. This paper supplements prevailing understandings of propaganda by showing theoretically and empirically how digital technologies are changing not only the content of propaganda, but also the way in which propaganda materials are produced and disseminated.

Keywords: propaganda, authoritarianism, social media, China, video, computer vision

<sup>\*</sup>We thank Erin Baggott Carter, Haifeng Huang, Tamar Mitts, Dan Mattingly, Charles McClean, Daniela Stockmann, Alexandra Siegel, Joseph Wright, Vineeta Yadav, as well as participants at seminars at Columbia, CSMaP, CUHK, Dartmouth, Northwestern, NUS, Penn State, Princeton, Seoul National University, Stanford, UCLA, UC San Diego, University of Maryland, University of Michigan, University of San Francisco, University of Washington, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Yale, and University of Chicago, the Media Effects Empirical Workshop at Columbia University, the Virtual Workshop on Authoritarian Regimes, ICA2022 Video-as-data in Computational Communication Panel for helpful comments and suggestions. We also thank Lingxiao Chen, Ziling Dong, Yibing Du, Hua Fan, Tianyu Fang, Jia Han, Xin Jin, Yuyang Shi, Qisi Xu, and Jinwen Wu for their excellent research assistance, as well as the Stanford Graduate Fellowship and Stanford HAI for research support.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Communication Studies, Northwestern University. Address: 2240 Campus Drive, Rm. 2-158 Evanston, IL, 60208. Email: yingdan@northwestern.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Professor, Department of Communication, Stanford University. Address: 450 Jane Stanford Way, Bldg. 120, Rm. 110 Stanford CA 94305-2050. Email: jp1@stanford.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Corresponding Author. Assistant Professor, Department of Politics & School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University. Address: 403 Robertson Hall Princeton, NJ 08544-1013. Email: xx2728@princeton.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science and W. Glenn Campbell and Rita Ricardo-Campbell National Fellow at the Hoover Institution, Stanford University. Address: 616 Serra Mall, Encina Hall West, Room 409 Stanford, CA 94305. Email: yiqingxu@stanford.edu.

## Introduction

Digital media hinders the ability of authoritarian regimes to reach broad audiences. Although digital media—platforms, websites, electronic devices—has enabled authoritarian regimes to expand the scope and specificity of censorship (King, Pan and Roberts 2013), surveillance (Xu 2021), and repression (Earl, Maher and Pan 2022; Gohdes 2024; Pan, Xu and Xu 2023), it has resulted in a vast explosion of information that has fragmented audiences into niche online spaces that serve highly specialized interests (Chadwick, Dennis and Smith 2015). For instance, in a group of a hundred individuals, each may be immersed in a distinct online world—from politics and powerlifting to cat feeding and coding—therefore, a topic deemed "viral" by one person might go unnoticed by the remaining ninety-nine. This means that even high-capacity authoritarian regimes with control over traditional media—e.g., state-run television, radio, newspapers—may find it a challenge to reach broad audiences with its propaganda. This challenge of reach cannot be solved by implementing selective censorship (King, Pan and Roberts 2013), having highly influential social media accounts (Woolley 2022), producing high quality soft propaganda (Mattingly and Yao 2022), or controlling ranking and recommendation algorithms (Bolsover and Howard 2019).

In this paper, we argue that while digital media has weakened the effectiveness of traditional, centralized or top-down, modes of disseminating propaganda to reach broad audiences, it enables a *different propaganda* system—what we call a decentralized propaganda model. Digital media lowers the entry costs of account and content creation, making it easier for a wide range of individuals and groups to participate in the dissemination of propaganda. Social media platforms allow for interconnectivity, enabling propagandists to easily source content from one another and ordinary users, lowering the cost of content creation. Platforms also provide instant feedback through metrics such as views and likes, allowing propagandists to quickly identify and amplify the most compelling content and incentivizing some to produce original content that appeals to audiences because metrics serve as social validation. Finally, social media facilitates monitoring in ways that traditional decentralization could not since it removes the need for marketization as an incentive mechanism for content creation and because quantified metrics such as views and likes are publicly visible. However, digital media does not inevitably lead to the decentralization of propaganda.

Governments must have both the incentive to reach broad audiences and the capacity to mobilize human resources.

We find evidence of a decentralized propaganda model on Douyin, a short-form video-sharing platform popular in China. Douyin has over 750 million monthly active users as of 2023 and is the fastest growing social media platform in China and in the world.<sup>1</sup> We identify a large number of producers—21,408 accounts affiliated with the Chinese Communist Party (CCP), which includes accounts with access to professional media resources and training (e.g., state-controlled media outlets, propaganda departments) and those without (e.g., firefighters, drug rehabilitation centers, political and legal affairs commissions). These accounts consistently produce a large volume of diverse content—5.14 million videos posted between June 1, 2020 and June 2021 that are rarely copies of each other. The composition of content produced by the decentralized model substantially differs from what is produced by non-regime-affiliated accounts, with nearly 49% of the content dedicated to propaganda, especially content promoting China as a moral society. As expected, information produced by this system does not flow solely from the top down. Instead, bottom-up information flow, where central-level videos originate locally, is the predominant pattern. Finally, engagement is higher for videos where the center has re-circulated propaganda content originating from local levels, showing how the decentralized model can generate more compelling content.

This decentralized propaganda model can systematically change content composition and distribution on social media platforms. Censorship and algorithmic promotion are not substitutes for decentralized propaganda; instead, governments can use them in combination to control the digital information environment. Censorship eliminates objectionable content. Decentralized production generates appealing propaganda for fragmented audiences. This high volume of diverse content can then be promoted algorithmically without driving users away from platforms. Although this new propaganda system may not match the scale of private content creators in terms of audience reach, our analysis indicates that it is more effective than simply transplanting a centralized, topdown propaganda system onto social media. This evolution suggests a strategic adaptation of state propaganda to the dynamics of the digital media era.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See https://www.statista.com/statistics/1361354/china-monthly-active-users-of-douyin-chinese-tiktok/ (Accessed March 5, 2024).

## Government Propaganda in the Age of Digital Media

This study examines propaganda systems-system of rules, incentives, and resources designed to control and influence public attitudes, opinions, and behavior-under authoritarian rule, where those in power have control over media and communications. We define propaganda as content produced by such systems with the intent of influencing public attitudes, preferences, and behaviors in favor of the regime. It is important to note that, while the motives of those producing propaganda may vary—such as satisfying superiors or advancing personal careers—the primary aim of messages we consider to be propaganda is to influence the public in favor of the regime. This definition builds on conceptions of propaganda, and information operations more broadly, which involve spreading biased information to shape attitudes, beliefs, or behavior both domestically or across borders (Alizadeh et al. 2020; Starbird, Arif and Wilson 2019). Some scholars offer alternative definitions of propaganda based on source—as everything produced by state media (e.g., Pan, Shao and Xu 2021; Bleck and Michelitch 2017). Others define propaganda based on its effects, including its ability to persuade and alter beliefs (Mattingly and Yao 2022; Peisakhin and Rozenas 2018; Guriev and Treisman 2022), as well as its ability to change behavior by signaling power (Carter and Carter 2021; Huang 2015, 2018; Little 2017). We do not define propaganda based on source because it can entail an extremely broad range of content, such that everything becomes propaganda, which muddies the concept. We do not define propaganda based on effect because we do not want to preclude content based on its effectiveness or quality.

This definition of propaganda based on intent means that not everything produced by statemedia would be considered propaganda. For example, a state-media outlet could report on the weather, broadcast a children's program on insects, or run advertisements to boost local tourism, and as long as these programs are not intended to influence public attitudes, preferences, and behaviors in favor of the regime, it would not be considered propaganda. Furthermore, it means that not everything produced by a propaganda system is necessarily propaganda. For example, a propaganda system may include incentives that lead those in the system to produce content aimed at capturing attention instead of influencing attitudes or preferences. Under this definition, content created with the sole purpose of capturing public attention would not constitute propaganda.<sup>2</sup>

#### **New Challenges for Authoritarian Information Control**

Digital media has led to an exponential increase in the number of information sources and amount of information available to audiences. As of the beginning of 2022, it was estimated that digital data had exceeded 44 zettabytes (one zettabyte has 21 zeros) and that in 2025, each day 463 exabytes (one exabyte has 18 zeros) of data would be generated (Renieris 2022). In the era of broadcast media, audiences had a relatively narrow set of media choices. With digital media, consumers face an ultra-high-choice environment, with countless content producers—from well-resources media organizations to ordinary people with a smartphone—competing for limited audience attention (Chadwick 2017; Fletcher and Nielsen 2017; Webster and Ksiazek 2012). Individuals face an abundance of content options and cannot consume all available information, thus, they reside digitally in fragmented communities that fulfill highly specialized interests, consuming narrow slices of available content fueled by recommendation and ranking algorithms (Guess et al. 2023). As a result, the content each person is exposed to can vary dramatically, such that something considered "viral" for one person—meaning it has gained rapid popularity (Goel et al. 2016)—may go entirely unnoticed by others.

The effectiveness of traditional, centralized or top-down, modes of disseminating propaganda has thus been undermined. In the era of broadcast media, authoritarian regimes could effectively disseminate their messages to the broader public and capture public attention by monopolizing the narrow set of media channels through state ownership of media outlets, as well as co-optation and intimidation of media owners and journalists (Bleck and Michelitch 2017; Enikolopov and Petrova 2015; McMillan and Zoido 2004; Qin, Strömberg and Wu 2018; Stockmann 2013). In the era of digital media, state control of the most popular media outlets does not guarantee that a sizable audience may be reached at any given time. For example, the *People's Daily* has over 100 million followers are going to see it. The average number of views any particular piece of content will receive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For examples of state-produced content aimed at capturing or redirecting public attention, see Field et al. (2018); King, Pan and Roberts (2017).

depends on the characteristics of the social media platform (e.g., is it a feed-based social network such as Facebook, an algorithmically-driven platform such as TikTok, or messaging-based app such as WhatsApp), audience interest in such content, the attractiveness of the content itself, and the interaction of all these factors (e.g., algorithms on some platforms may make recommendations to certain users based on their prior views and clicks).

The use of alternative content styles, such as "soft propaganda," can make specific pieces of propaganda more appealing in the high-choice digital environment (Mattingly and Yao 2022). However, this approach does not guarantee that messages will reach a broad audience. To draw an analogy, suppose a piece of soft propaganda is as engaging as a blockbuster action movie. It may appeal to many, but it will not appeal to everyone. Moreover, effective propaganda often requires repeated and continued exposure to achieve its intended impact, rather than relying on a one-shot blockbuster (Jowett and O'donnell 2018). Consequently, even highly popular social media accounts and slickly produced content cannot consistently guarantee broad audience reach.

Censorship is not sufficient for overcoming the challenge of audience fragmentation. Information suppression removes dissenting voices and alternative perspectives (Earl, Maher and Pan 2022; King, Pan and Roberts 2013; Pan 2017; Pan and Siegel 2020). However, autocrats and other political figures often aim to sway public opinion on specific policies or general perceptions of the government and legitimacy (Dukalskis and Gerschewski 2017; Mattingly and Yao 2022; Pan, Shao and Xu 2021), shape public behavior, whether it is to obtain political compliance or mobilize the masses (Huang 2015; Perry, Ekiert and Yan 2020), set the agenda (Scheufele 2000), or divert public attention (King, Pan and Roberts 2017). In other words, highly effective censorship does not necessarily mean that authoritarian regimes can consistently reach broad audiences to fulfill their goals of proactively directing public attention, shaping preferences, and influencing behaviors.

Finally, controlling or influencing the algorithms used by social media platforms to recommend and rank content cannot address challenge of audience fragmentation (Bolsover and Howard 2019). If the same state-produced content is algorithmically promoted to all users, many will not engage with it, choosing instead to bypass it by swiping past or reducing their time on the platform to seek more appealing content elsewhere. The diversification and proliferation of content means that it is impossible to compel users to consume the same content, even with algorithmic control.

### A Decentralized Propaganda Model

To engage a fragmented audience distributed across online spaces catering to specialized interests, the regime can decentralize propaganda production, dramatically expanding the number of propaganda content producers. Instead of a handful, or even a few hundred, professional, state-controlled media outlets, thousands or tens of thousands of individuals, some of whom have professional media training and access to specialized media equipment and many others who do not, are tasked with creating content. While we expect those in power to set guidelines on content, producers are given a great deal of autonomy in what they can produce. The vast number of producers, each with their own knowledge, expertise, and interests, produces large quantities of content, including content that meets varied audience interests and needs.

A decentralized system is more likely than a centralized system to produce diverse, responsive, and persuasive propaganda content to reach a larger audience because of the autonomy granted to numerous producers. Compared with central principals, local agents are closer to citizens and tend to have values and cultural norms more similar to that of their localities and other communities and to have knowledge more relevant to these communities. This proximity allows them to better understand the preferences and concerns of the local population, giving them a significant information advantage over central propagandists. As a result, local agents can tailor their messaging in ways that resonate more effectively with the citizens, making their content more relatable and appealing. With a large number of local agents with divergent interests, the decentralization of propaganda production is more effective in reaching fragmented audiences.

Decentralization of propaganda existed in the era of traditional media but to a much lesser extent. Principal-agent problems, caused by divergent interests and information asymmetry between managers (principals) and producers (agents), are inherent in decentralized systems and can hinder propaganda efforts. In the traditional media era, the high costs of content production meant that decentralizing production inevitably entailed the commercialization or marketization of media outlets to incentivize producers (Stockmann 2013). Yet, market competition creates divergent interests between principals and agents, along with difficulties in monitoring, thereby reducing the amount of propaganda produced, even among government-owned outlets (Gehlbach and Sonin 2014; Qin, Strömberg and Wu 2018). The tradeoff between incentivizing content creation and overseeing it likely limited the adoption of decentralized propaganda production in the mass media era.

Digital media creates the necessary conditions for decentralized propaganda at scale by (a) lowering the entry costs of account and content creation, (b) easing the process of content sourcing and circulation through interconnectivity, (c) providing incentives for content creation through social validation, and (d) reducing the cost of monitoring at scale. In other words, digital media can help mitigate the tradeoff between incentivizing and monitoring content creation, making it possible to decentralize propaganda production at scale.

#### **Cost of Entry**

Digital media enables decentralized propaganda by significantly lowering the entry costs of account and content creation. New technologies allow virtually anyone, regardless of professional media training or access to professional media equipment, to create social media accounts and generate content, making it easier for a wide range of individuals and groups to participate in the dissemination of propaganda.

In the traditional media era, it was costly to set up a media channel. Broadcasting traditional media required significant infrastructure (e.g., transmission facilities, production studios, satellite uplinks, antennas and transmitters, TV networks) and specialized equipment (e.g., broadcastquality cameras, non-linear high-quality microphones and mixing boards, editing systems on desktop computers, lightning and grip equipment, editing decks, take and film equipment). This, in turn, required significant financial investment and trained personnel with expertise in film-making, broadcasting, and editing such as broadcast engineers, production crews, and editors. At most, each propaganda producer would have one TV station, one radio station, and a limited number of newspapers. In the social media era, creating an account or handle on social media is usually free, and a single propaganda producer can easily create and manage dozens of accounts on one or more social media platforms. The powerful cameras and editing software available on smartphones in the digital media era allows anyone to create professional-quality content in multiple modalities (text, audio, visual) that are easy to upload and share. Furthermore, there are many resources that new content creators can draw on (e.g., online tutorials) to quickly learn how to create content on social media platforms, and the proliferation of digital data means that there is an ample supply of material for new content creators to use as inspiration.

#### Interconnectivity

In the context of social networks, network effects occur when the value of a platform increases as more users join. This leads to market concentration, where users gravitate toward a few large platforms (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, WeChat). These platforms transcend geographical, cultural, and temporal barriers, enabling real-time communication and interaction among millions of individuals, both nationally and globally. This unprecedented level of connectivity allows propagandists to easily source content from each other and from ordinary users. In contrast to the traditional media era, when central propagandists had to send reporters to gather news and propaganda materials—an expensive and time-consuming process—content sourcing and circulation are far more efficient in the social media age.

This high level of connectivity also allows propagandists to gather instant feedback and engagement metrics from audiences, making it much easier to assess the effectiveness of propaganda content. Propagandists can then identify and circulate the most compelling and effective content. As a result, this interconnectivity not only reduces the costs of content production but also helps propagandists create and circulate more engaging propaganda.

#### **Social Validation**

The phenomenon of gaining likes, shares, and other forms of audience engagement on social media can be intrinsically motivating, as people seek to fulfill various needs and gratifications when using these platforms (Alhabash and Ma 2017; Katz, Blumler and Gurevitch 1973; Whiting and Williams 2013).<sup>3</sup> In the context of propaganda production, the social validation feedback loop and the pursuit of audience engagement can incentivize some to produce original content that appeals to audiences. We do not claim that all producers find producing social media content intrinsically motivating, or that such incentives apply to workers at all times. Some producers may view produc-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>By intrinsically motivating, we mean appealing even if engagement does not lead to financial gain or self-presentation opportunities. For example, more likes and reshares may create a sense of social approval, which can fulfill the need for social relatedness (Gangadharbatla 2008).

tion as merely work, aiming only to satisfy superiors and meet observable metrics. Nevertheless, while less motivated workers may not produce high-quality content, the sheer number of workers, who likely have differing motives, combined with the interconnectivity of social media networks, contribute to the sourcing and spreading high-quality material.

#### Monitoring

While decentralization in general may lead to a divergence between the interests of agents and their principals (Landry 2008), social media facilitates monitoring in ways often infeasible through traditional decentralization methods. First of all, the significantly reduced cost of content production removes the need for marketization as an incentive mechanism for content creation. The absence of commercial interests among agents aligns their interests more closely with those of the principal, making it easier to monitor and discipline them.

Second, the number of posts that are made as well as quantified metrics such as views, likes, reposts, and comments are publicly visible on social media (Boyd and Crawford 2012; Lu and Pan 2021). Consequently, principals can readily observe the volume of content produced by their agents' social media accounts, assess the success of these accounts in attracting audience attention, and make direct comparisons across different agents' accounts. This means principals can incentivize a large number of producers with limited resources by punishing accounts that are not producing content (shirking) and by organizing low-cost competitions where agents are evaluated on the basis of publicly visible social media engagement metrics. Finally, since relatively few pieces of content gain high levels of attention, if the content that gains attention is deemed objectionable by the principal, the offensive content is easily identified and the agent producing it punished.<sup>4</sup> Punishing creators of highly successful content deemed inappropriate by the regime

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>For example, a local state media account on Douyin, Hefei Financial Channel, falsely reported a super-spreader event during the COVID pandemic, which went viral on Chinese social media. The video contained fake photos and was quickly identified as false by netizens (for more details, see https://www.sohu.com/a/382050861\_120214184). There are cases where those who operate state-affiliated accounts have been disciplined by the regime. For example, information disclosed by the Anhui Provincial Cyberspace Administration indicates that the operators of social media accounts, including Huainan Micro XX, Hefei Traffic XX, Hongye Firefighting XX, and Anhui XXX, among other non-state accounts, were "summoned and educated" for spreading inappropriate content. Although the full names of these accounts were not made public, they are clearly state-affiliated account, as only registered state accounts are allowed to use such administrative division names in their social media handles (for more details, see http://www.ahjg bzw.gov.cn/content/detail/64c8460b7f8b9a8c188b4567.html and https://hqtime.huanqiu.com/article/4EVhZnXIoJg).

acts as a strong deterrent, further encouraging other producers to align their work with propaganda guidelines.

All together, low costs of entry, interconnectivity, social validation feedback loop, and efficient monitoring on social media platforms enable decentralized propaganda by allowing the government to mobilize and oversee propaganda production among a large number of content producers with diverse interests, even those without access to professional media resources and training.

Note that we do not suggest digital media inevitably leads to the decentralization of propaganda, as other factors, such as motivation and manpower, are still required to drive and sustain decentralized propaganda efforts. First, the government must have an incentive to reach broad audiences with propaganda in the digital media era. Some regimes, particularly modern dictatorships, rely more on information control and manipulation than overt repression to maintain power (Guriev and Treisman 2022). These regimes may have incentives to decentralize propaganda production to local officials who have better local knowledge to reach broad audiences. Second, the ability to employ the decentralized model depends on the availability of human resources, mobilization power, as well as a centralized capacity for control. A decentralized propaganda model requires the availability of individuals that the state can deploy for such assignments, which can include government workers, affiliated individuals (e.g., nationalistic youth, party members), and perhaps commercial actors who are sympathetic to the cause (Ong and Cabañes 2019; Woolley 2022). Such a model also requires a centralized authority to direct the actions of agents and to provide rewards or sanctions based on the agents' observed behavior.

#### **Implications of A Decentralized Model**

A decentralized propaganda model should have observable implications in the numbers and types of producers, content volume and diversity, content composition, information flow, and content engagement. First, we would expect to see a large number of propaganda producers with diverse backgrounds:

- 1a Number of producers: A decentralized model entails a large number of producers.
- 1b Type of producers: A decentralized model includes producers with access to professional

media resources and training and those without.

Thus, we should observe a large number of content creators (1a), not limited to those with access to professional media training and resources (1b), but potentially including officials from various departments. In contrast, a centralized model would likely restrict production to those with access to professional media resources.

Second, the large number of producers with diverse interests in a decentralized model should generate a high volume of diverse content. While the interconnectivity of social media makes copying easier, the low cost of content creation also facilitates the production of original material. Coupled with the ease of central mobilization and monitoring for original content creation, we expect that content produced by peripheral creators will not simply be copied, but will instead exhibit originality.

## 2 **Content volume and diversity:** A decentralized model consistently produces a large volume of diverse content.

This implication differs from what we would expect in a centralized model, where content creation is concentrated in the hands of central propagandists who produce a limited amount of original content. In that model, while propaganda can be distributed in large quantities if the center invests in distribution channels, the distributed content are typically copies of the central content, resulting in low diversity of content.

Third, the low costs of content creation and easy monitoring ensure the creation of propaganda content. Whereas non-regime affiliated accounts may strongly prioritize entertainment and sensational content to maximize views, we expect regime-affiliated producers to rely on such traffic generating content to a lesser extent and to prioritize content aimed at improving public favorability toward the regime, e.g., idealized images of the top leader, government achievements, national and military strength, responsible government policies and programs, and a good society. Furthermore, interconnectivity, instant feedback, and producers' local knowledge in a decentralized model, especially from peripheral creators, would result in propaganda content that differs from what would produced by a centralized model, with greater focus on citizens' daily life rather than on ideology, top leaders, and grand achievements. This leads to the third set of observable implications:

- 3a **Content composition A:** A decentralized model produces content that is of a different content mix than what would be produced solely by non-regime-affiliated accounts.
- 3c **Content composition B:** Peripheral creators produce a different content mix compared to central creators.

In a decentralized propaganda model, where a vast number of producers create large quantities of content tailored to varied audience interests and needs, information flow among government-affiliated producers differs from that in a centralized propaganda system. Information dissemination in a traditional broadcast framework, and what we would expect in a centralized propaganda system, is typically uni-directional: a small number of central media outlets produce the best content, which is then copied by peripheral state media. Decentralization on social media changes this pattern. Instead of solely sourcing content from a small number of central propaganda outlets, the interconnectivity of social media allows accounts to easily share content among themselves. Central accounts can source content from peripheral accounts, while peripheral accounts can use materials from central ones or other peripheral accounts. Regime-affiliated accounts may also incorporate materials from non-regime content producers. Thus, a decentralized propaganda model on social media implies that content flows not only from the center to the periphery but also the other way around.

4 **Content Flows:** In a decentralized model, we expect that content flows in both centralperipheral and peripheral-central directions.

The characteristics of a decentralized propaganda model enable engagement with broader audiences than would be possible through a centralized system. Though not every piece of content, or even the majority, produced by a decentralized model gains widespread attention, a decentralized model achieves greater audience engagement. This occurs for two reasons. First, a larger and more diverse pool of producers increases the chances of content receiving broader attention. Second, and perhaps more importantly, the most successful pieces can be redistributed by other accounts, thereby amplifying the breadth and depth of information cascades to reach even larger audiences. This leads to the final observable implication: 5 **Engagement:** In a decentralized model, we expect that content copied by central accounts from peripheral accounts to have higher audience engagement than content originating from central accounts.

#### **Studying Propaganda in China**

This paper focuses on whether China, under the rule of the CCP, has adopted a decentralized propaganda system for two main reasons. First, the CCP meets the scope conditions of where we may expect to observe a decentralized propaganda model. China under CCP rule is a high capacity authoritarian regime that has for decades exhibited a high level of control over traditional, broadcast media (Brady 2009; Qin, Strömberg and Wu 2018; Stockmann 2013), as well as power to mobilize regime insiders and the public more broadly (Looney 2020).

Second, the CCP has demonstrated its interest in controlling information on digital media instead of imposing a complete ban of social media. China has implemented, large-scale, multifaceted censorship with strategies ranging from website filtering to content removal (Chen and Yang 2019; Gallagher and Miller 2021; King, Pan and Roberts 2013; Roberts 2018). China bans many US-based digital media platforms—e.g., Facebook, Instagram, YouTube—through the socalled "Great Firewall," but has allowed Chinese social media platforms to grow and serve the large and lucrative domestic Chinese market (Pan 2017). In past ten years, the CCP has adopted the strategy of "media convergence" (Repnikova and Fang 2019).<sup>5</sup> While media convergence has many aspects, one of its goals is for the CCP to occupy digital spaces.<sup>6</sup> The key social media platforms targeted by the government for media convergence has expanded from "Two Wei" (两 微), which refers to WeChat and Weibo, to "Two Wei and One APP" (两微一端, which expanded

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In November 2013, the Third Plenary Session of the 18th Central Committee of the Chinese Communist Party proposed the promotion of the integrated development of traditional and new media, indicating that "media convergence" had become a consensus of the entire Party. In August 2014, Xi emphasized in "Guidelines on Promoting the Convergence and Development of Traditional and New Media" (关于推动传统媒体和新兴媒体 融合发展的指导意见) that media convergence should undergo an "in-depth convergence between traditional and new media in aspects like content, channels, platforms, operations, and management," and developing new forms of mainstream media and media groups with "diverse forms, advanced methods, and competence." (https://www.cma.gov.cn/2011xzt/20150827/20150827/20150827/20150827/291684.html).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See, for example, Grasp the trend of media transformation and actively occupy emerging public opinion positions— Study and implement General Secretary Xi Jinping's important expositions on the development strategy of emerging media.http://www.xinhuanet.com//politics/2016-06/14/c\_1119039250.htm

beyond WeChat and Weibo to include government applications), to currently "Two Wei, One APP, and One Dou" (两微一端一抖), which includes Douyin. The CCP has made substantial headway in establishing its presence on Chinese social media platforms. As of December 2022, all provinces in China have created their Weibo accounts, and more than 145,000 Weibo accounts are verified as government-affiliated accounts on Weibo.<sup>7</sup>

## **Data and Methods**

To evaluate whether we detect the observable implications of a decentralized propaganda model in practice, we examine the digital presence of the CCP on the short-form video platform Douyin. We focus on Douyin because the platform has been hugely successful in capturing the Chinese social media market, reaching over 750 million monthly active users as of 2023 and growing more quickly than any other Chinese or global social media platform in recent years. In contrast to traditional social media platforms such as Weibo, the video-based format of Douyin draws users from China's lower-tier, non-coastal cities. The average user spends 73.6 minutes on Douyin each day, and Douyin serves as a primary source of information for many ordinary Chinese people.<sup>8</sup> In addition, Douyin has worked closely with the CCP, including providing training on how to build audience for state-affiliated accounts, and has been emphasized as a key channel that the CCP must dominate by China's leader Xi Jinping.<sup>9</sup> Together, this means Douyin encompasses a huge audience base and is a platform where the CCP is active.

Similar to social-network-based platforms such as Weibo and Facebook, Douyin features highly diverse content and offers audiences a wide range of choices; consequently, the government faces the challenge of competing for attention (Lu and Pan 2021, 2022; King, Pan and Roberts 2017; Roberts 2018). Douyin differs from older social media platforms in its algorithm-driven approach, where content delivery does not rely on users building their own social networks. On Douyin, users receive content recommendations before establishing connections with other accounts. However, this distinction is one of degree rather than kind, as feed ranking algorithms also play a large role

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>"The 46th China Statistical Report On Internet Development." China Internet Network Information Center, 2023. <sup>8</sup>See https://m.tech.china.com/tech/article/20210825/082021\_859739.html and https://min.news/en/tech/8292efb21 d908cc0c80e7379ace164db.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>See http://www.cac.gov.cn/2019-12/03/c\_1576907933632994.htm.

on social media platforms like WeChat and Facebook, which initially emerged as social networks (Guess et al. 2023).

#### **Data Collection**

We identify a total of 21,208 regime-affiliated accounts (see Appendix A1 for details) and collect all videos from their timelines made between June 1, 2020 and June 1, 2021. We exclude accounts that did not post any videos during this time period, resulting 5.17 million videos from 19,042 accounts. Figure 1 shows that content production exhibits a weekly pattern, which is often observed among government-run social media accounts, where more content is posted on weekdays than on weekends (Lu and Pan 2021). Regime-affiliated accounts averaged 11,544 videos per day during the first month of our data collection and 16,945 videos per day at the end of our data collection.



FIGURE 1. NUMBER OF VIDEOS OVER TIME BY ADMINISTRATIVE LEVEL

We use account and video data to a) examine the characteristics of content producers; b) assess the volume and diversity of content using video similarity analysis; c) analyze the composition of content; d) analyze the direction of information flow, and e) measure the level of user engagement.

#### **Analyzing Characteristics of Propaganda Producers**

We expect a decentralized propaganda model to include propaganda producers at the center and periphery, with and without access to professional media training and resources. As a result, we focus on measuring two main characteristic of propaganda producers: 1) central-peripheral position, and 2) media professionalization. For the first characteristic, we use central versus local administrative level as proxies for the central-peripheral relations in China. We identify the administrative level of an account by manually examining the verification details in the account metadata. We classify whether an account is affiliated with: (a) central level; (b) province level; (c) prefecture/city level; or (d) county level entity, where (a) represent central accounts and (b)-(d) peripheral (see Appendix A2 for rationale and details). For the second characteristic, we consider an account to be run by producers with access to professional media resources and training if the account is affiliated with any state-controlled media outlets or the CCP propaganda department and its local branches because these organizations have traditionally be tasked with propaganda work in China (Brady 2009; Stockmann 2013). These are bureaucracies that likely, before the digital media era, had access to the resources to produce professional grade media infrastructure, equipment and personnel, and still do today. We identify the functional affiliation of an account by manually examining the verification details in the account metadata and classify accounts as: (a) state media, (b) propaganda departments, (c) government administrative offices, (d) security apparatus, (e) firefighters, (f) local Communist Youth League, (g) culture/travel departments, (h) other departments, or (i) other accounts,<sup>10</sup> where (a)-(b) represent accounts with access to professional media training and resources, and (c)-(h) do not.

### **Video Similarity Analysis**

We expect a decentralized propaganda model to produce diverse and original content. To evaluate this, we assess the similarity of videos produced by accounts at different levels of administration. To reduce computational costs, we start by sampling five days in each month. For each sampled

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>To err on the side of conservatism, we exclude "other accounts" (see Appendix A1) since the strength of ties between the regime and these accounts is not always clear or strong. As a result, the final dataset consists of 5.14 million videos from 18,684 accounts, which is the basis for all analyses in this paper.

day, we include data from three days before and three days after. This results in a total of 244 days for this analysis. Within each day, we compare videos posted by central-level accounts against those posted by all local-level accounts (e.g., central-created video A with province- videos, city-videos, and county-created videos) and videos posted at the central level are compared with all other central-level videos (e.g., central-created video A with central-created video B).

Comparing videos can be a challenging task as videos include multiple modalities (audio, visual, and text), which require more time and effort to annotate (Nyhuis et al. 2021). Despite a rising interest in studying videos as data in social science research (Dietrich and Juelich 2018; Kang et al. 2020; Lu and Pan 2022), little work focuses on video-based similarity comparison. We apply a convolutional-neutral-network-based frame-to-frame video-similarity learning framework, ViSiL (Kordopatis-Zilos et al. 2019), to calculate the similarity between each two videos. Compared to other state-of-the-art frameworks that compare videos frame-by-frame, ViSiL not only considers the spatial (intra-frame) information of the video but also considers the temporal (interframe) information to calculate the similarity of two videos. On each sampled day, we compare an average of 2.8 million central-county video pairs, 3.7 million central-city video pairs, 2.5 million central-province video pairs, and 0.7 million central-central video pairs. ViSiL generates a similarity score from 0 to 1 for each video pair. We set our similarity threshold to 0.75 based on human validation (for more details and example video comparisons, see Appendix A3). Using the similarity score for each local video for each day, we calculate the percentage of videos in province, city, and county-level accounts that match at least one video from a central-level account on each sampled day, as well as the percentage of central-level videos that are copied from the same level.

#### **Categories of Content**

While we expect diversity and originality in content, to measure the composition of content and how this may differ between regime and non-regime-affiliated accounts, we conduct human-based video content analysis on a random sample of 18,571 videos produced by accounts at different levels of the administration, selected through stratified sampling by date of creation. Five trained native Chinese speakers watched each video and placed it into six categories with 15 subcategories. We conducted three rounds of training for the coders, achieving inter-coder reliability of 74%.

As shown in Table 1, the categories are: 1) party-line propaganda, which includes content related to CCP ideology and central-level CCP leaders; 2) nationalism; 3) moral society, which focuses on the positivity in people's daily lives and punishment of immoral behaviors; 4) government announcements and guidance; 5) entertainment and sensational content; and 6) a residual category of other content (for detailed coding rules, see Appendix A4).

Category	Example video titles
1. Party-line	Socialism and revolutionary culture Xi Jinping confers flag to China's police force and speaks at the ceremony
2. Nationalism	War of Chinese people's resistance against Japanese aggression
3. Moral society	Respect! The bus drivers suffered a heart attack but stopped the bus before falling into a coma! 14-year-old boy donates his bone marrow to save his father!
4. Announcements	Pensions for retirees rose by 4.5%! Notice! Power cuts will happen in the following places in Xuan- wei! Please inform others
5. Entertainment	Disco dance of an old man in a Hebei park Who wins? Rat vs. Squirrel
6. Other	U.S. study: New coronavirus may have mutated to make humans more susceptible to infection

#### TABLE 1. CONTENT CATEGORIES AND EXAMPLE VIDEO TITLES

All categories except moral society are derived from existing research on propaganda and political communication. Party-line propaganda represents hard propaganda, which signals the party's power (Huang 2015). We consider party-line content to be propaganda as it is intended to influence public behaviors—e.g., suppress dissent—in line with what the regime favors. Nationalism content has been a long-standing feature of China's patriotic propaganda campaigns and education reforms (Cantoni et al. 2017; Zhao 1998). We consider content in the nationalism category propaganda as content heightening in-group solidarity, describing major scientific or cultural achievements, and denigrating out-groups—people, governments, political systems—in other countries or regions is intended to shift attitudes to be more favorable toward the CCP regime.

Announcements, which includes image building by the local governments and purely informa-

tional content, has been a well-documented feature of communications by the Chinese government (Chen et al. 2023; Pan 2019). Content in the announcement category may (image-building) or may not (weather reports) be propaganda. Given this ambiguity, we err on the side of conservatism and do not consider announcements to be propaganda. Finally, entertainment is a primary function of digital media (Boyd 2008), and we expected content to fall into this category. Content in the entertainment category, which is unrelated to political life and oriented toward attracting viewers, does not fall under our definition of propaganda.<sup>11</sup>

Finally, moral society is an inductively derived category, which emerged from the data. We expected a decentralized propaganda model to produce content like announcements closer to people's lives because of the proliferation of producers; however, in addition to videos falling neatly into the announcements category, we observed videos pertaining to everyday life that consistently portrayed China, its government, and its people as good and moral. We thus labeled this category moral society. We consider content in this category to be propaganda as it appears intended to positively affect attitudes toward the regime by implying that the regime is responsible for producing this good society.

In addition to categorizing sampled videos from regime-affiliated accounts, we also compare the content composition of 8,028 trending videos made by regime-affiliated and non-regimeaffiliated accounts posted between June 1 to June 17, 2020. Trending videos are identified by their inclusion on the Douyin Trending Billboard, as highlighted by the Douyin platform. This shorter timeframe is chosen because data on trending videos from non-regime accounts is only available during this period (Lu and Pan 2022).

#### **Content Flows**

We expect that in the decentralized model, content not only flows from the center to the periphery but also from the periphery to the center. To identify content flows between central and local accounts, we utilize the previously mentioned video similarity analysis and the posting times of the videos, as the sequence of posting indicates the original source of the videos. We define central-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The entertainment category includes negative sensational content, which we also do not consider to be propaganda since most events either do not pertain to the government or reflect negatively on it.

level videos sourced from lower levels as those central-level videos that are highly similar to locallevel videos where the local-level video was posted prior to the central-level video. In all other cases, we consider the central-level video to be the source.<sup>12</sup>

### **Audience Engagement**

Lastly, to determine how a decentralized propaganda model reaches audiences, we analyze the number of likes, comments, and reshares of videos. Specifically, we compare user engagement with video originating from central-level accounts to the engagement with central-level videos that are copied from lower-levels. We expect the latter, videos originating from local-level accounts but copied by central-level accounts, will have better user engagement. In addition, we merge the hand-labeled sample of 18,571 videos with the sample of videos for which we have similarity analysis. This allows us to identify a sample of propaganda-only content from central-level videos and compare user engagement between propaganda videos originating from local-level accounts and copied by central-level accounts and propaganda videos originating directly from central-level accounts.

### **Research Ethics and Reproducibility**

From the perspective of transparent and reproducible social science, the scale and type of data collected as well as method used create challenges that are worth noting. First, we are not able to share the video content due to copyright restrictions. To adhere to our IRB protocol, we are required to delete identifying account information (e.g., IDs, names) as well as any information linking accounts with their content (video IDs). Second, even if we were able to share the original video content, some of the analysis is highly computationally intensive—for example, ViSiL entails comparisons of over a billion video pairs, which would require more time and money than is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>This includes central-level videos that bear no similarity to any local-level videos, as well as central-level videos that are similar to local-level videos, but where the central-level video was posted first. Because there are four different local levels, we compare the central level to each local level separately to provide more detailed insights into information flows while minimizing computational demand. Note that this method is biased against finding of locally originated videos, as it overcounts central origination. A video produced at one local level may be copied by the central level and then copied again by another local level. In this situation, the locally originated video is misattributed as centrally originated. However, all videos that are truly of central-origin are correctly identified in comparisons between the central level and each local level. Therefore, the numbers we provide is likely an underestimate of local origination of videos.

typical for a replication. Third, while the data was collected via paid API, it is not clear whether there will be continued API access. In order to be as transparent as possible and to enable future replication given these constraints, we provide, in the replication files, the detailed procedures used to collect the data. We share the code used to generate intermediate data (e.g., configuration and code for implementing ViSiL). We share the intermediate data in the replication files and the code used to generate the final output.

## **Results**

We test the implications of the decentralized propaganda model outlined in Section and report the findings below.

### **Proliferation of Propaganda Producers**

As expected in a decentralized model, we observe a large number of accounts run by producers without access to professional media resources and training, alongside accounts from state-media outlets and propaganda departments. Figure 2 shows the breakdown of accounts by administrative level (x-axis) and functional bureaucracy (y-axis). A substantial number of accounts belong to state-controlled media outlets (4,510) and propaganda departments (2,144) at all levels of gov-ernment. However, the functional bureaucracy with the largest presence on Douyin is the security apparatus with 6,099 accounts across different levels of government, which aligns with recent work on the public relations campaigns of the Ministry of Public Security (Scoggins 2022). In total, state media accounts for 24.1% of all accounts and propaganda department at 11.5%.

### **High Content Volume and Diversity**

Regime-affiliated accounts at all levels produce a high volume of content (see Table 2), with each account averaging 275 videos per year.

The videos that are produced by these accounts are not copies but instead diverse. Figure 3 shows the proportion of videos from provincial-, city-, and county-level accounts that match central-level videos by day, for all sampled days. On average, only about 10% of local-level



Figure 2. Number of regime-affiliated accounts by level and type



FIGURE 3. PROPORTION OF MATCHED VIDEOS BETWEEN CENTRAL AND LOCAL LEVELS

Administrative level	Total accounts	Total videos
Central-level accounts	544	305,371
Province-level accounts	2,473	1,327,555
City-level accounts	6,158	1,886,783
County-level accounts	9,509	1,621,812
Total	18,684	5,141,521

TABLE 2. NUMBER OF REGIME-AFFILIATED ACCOUNTS AND VIDEOS

videos are copies of central-level videos. There is variation in the level of copying over time, but even the day with the largest spikes in similarity—May 22, 2021 death of Yuan Longping—fewer than half of local-level of videos are copies of central-level videos (see Appendix A5.2 for detailed analysis of three dates where local-level copying of central level content is highest). In addition, a small proportion of central-level videos are copied by local levels. There are 59,514 central-level videos (31%) in our sampled days with local matches, while the remaining 135,119 (69%) are not copied by local levels at all.

#### **Differences in Content Composition**

The mix of content from regime-affiliated accounts differs from what is observed among nonregime accounts. Figure 4 compares the mix of content from non-regime accounts with those from regime-affiliated accounts, categorized by party-line propaganda (in dark red), nationalism (in orange), moral society (in dark pink), announcements and guidance on people's daily life (in light pink), entertainment (in blue), and other (gray). The top bar of shows that the distribution of content among trending videos created by non-regime accounts is dominated by entertainment or sensational content (86.2%) with very little content related to nationalism (2.4%) and even less related to party-line propaganda (less than 1%). In the same time period, the mix of content from regime-affiliated accounts, both overall (bottom bar of Figure 4) and among trending videos (middle bar of Figure 4), show much larger shares of content related to propaganda.

Content is mostly focused on matters of daily life (moral society and announcements) as shown in Figure 4, and this pattern is especially pronounced among peripheral accounts. Figure 5 shows



FIGURE 4. CONTENT CATEGORIES FOR REGIME AND NON-REGIME ACCOUNTS (JUNE 1 - 17, 2020)

the share of videos from each administrative level. Central accounts tend to produce more partyline propaganda and nationalism content than lower-level accounts, despite the fact that central accounts have copies content from local accounts, as we will show below. The vast majority of content produced by local accounts fall in the moral society and announcement categories, which are most directly relevant to people's everyday lives.

One might be concerned that the rise of moral society content is a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, since these data were collected between June 1, 2020, to June 1, 2021. This is unlikely for several reasons. First, China effectively contained the pandemic from April 2020 to late 2021, thereby reducing public concern about the virus. Second, moral society content predates the pandemic and has been a major theme of Xi era propaganda since 2012, often described as "positive energy" (正能量, zheng nengliang) in official speeches (Chen and Wang 2019). Third, moral society content was not notably present in trending videos from non-regime accounts in June 2020, indicating it is not a direct response to the pandemic (Figure 4).



FIGURE 5. CONTENT CATEGORIES BY ADMINISTRATIVE LEVEL (JUNE 1, 2020–JUNE 1, 2021)

### **Content Flow**

Figure 6 shows that among central videos that have a match among local-level videos, the majority, 32,930 (55%), were first posted by local levels, especially by city- and provincial-level accounts. These results show that information is not only flowing from the top-down, but from the bottom-up as well. In fact, the bottom-up information flow, where central-level videos are of local origin, is the predominant pattern.

Many videos originating from local levels and reposted by the center contain content related to moral society. These videos capture ordinary people as well as those holding government-affiliated jobs such as healthcare workers, firefighters, and police. For example, one video (see frames of Video A in Appendix A4) captures footage of a firefighter working to rescue someone caught in raging floodwaters. While the video is shaky and somewhat blurry, the background music conveys heroism and dedication. The accompanying caption, "Salute the heroes who go against the flow! Firefighters are risking their lives to rescue people" (为逆行英雄点赞! 消防员奋不顾身营救



FIGURE 6. NUMBER OF MATCHED VIDEOS ORIGINATING FROM CENTRAL AND LOCAL LEVELS

群众) emphasizes the selfless goodness of the firefighter in serving a community facing adversity. Another example (Video B in Appendix A4) contains low-quality CCTV footage of a nine-year-old girl from Jiangxi province carrying a three-year-old boy who was lost on the street to the police, along with still photos of a police officer holding the boy, the girl with police officers, and the girl at school. Captions narrate the girl's actions, highlighting her virtue and kindness, while poignant background music enhances the emotional tone. A third example (Video C in Appendix A4) depicts a healthcare worker repeatedly bowing. The captions explain that she is a frontline health worker in northern China who did not leave her post to be with her dying mother. Instead, she bows in the direction of her hometown, where her mother passed away, as a gesture of mourning and respect. Similar to the video of the firefighter, the footage was taken in low light with low resolution, but the emotionally evocative background music elicits sympathy and admiration for the selfless contributions.

### **Audience Engagement**

When we look at user engagement between central-level videos of central origin and centrallevel videos of local origin, we find that engagement is higher for videos where the center has re-circulated content originating from local levels (see Figure 7). This engagement pattern is consistent with the understanding that a decentralized propaganda model, with a large number of accounts and diverse content, may reach fragmented, niche audiences<sup>13</sup> and increase audience engagement compared to a top-down, broadcast model driven by central accounts. This pattern does not result from central accounts merely copying entertainment from local accounts. Instead, the content that central accounts copy from local accounts contains more propaganda, especially moral society content, than original content from central accounts (see Appendix A5).



FIGURE 7. AVERAGE ENGAGEMENT WITH CENTRAL-LEVEL VIDEOS BY CREATION SOURCE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Appendix A5.5 shows that the diverse content does, in fact, attract audiences with varied and fragmented interests.

## Discussion

In this paper, we conceptualize a decentralized propaganda model, characterized by a larger number of producers—including those with access to professional media resources and training and those without—who create original and diverse propaganda to reach fragmented digital audiences. We find evidence of such a model in China on Douyin by identifying over twenty thousand regimeaffiliated accounts, the majority of which are run by bureaucracies not traditionally tasked with media or propaganda broadcasting, and analyzing millions of videos posted by these accounts. Regime-affiliated accounts actively produce a large volume of diverse content. Content produced by these regime-affiliated accounts is propaganda-oriented, with a substantial portion focusing on moral society, as well as ideological propaganda and idealizing of top leaders. While these accounts generate entertainment and sensational content, perhaps aimed at increasing audience traffic, we observe a much smaller proportion of such content compared to that produced by nonregime creators on Douyin. In addition, content does not flow solely in a top-down manner as we would observe in a centralized model. Local-level accounts produce large amounts of original videos, and central accounts often recirculate this local content. Notably, user engagement is higher for central-level videos repurposed from local content compared to those originating directly from the center. This suggests that a decentralized propaganda model may be more effective than a purely top-down distribution system, allowing government content to reach fragmented audiences in the digital media era.

These findings have important implications for our understanding of government propaganda in the era of digital media. They challenge prevailing assumptions of how propaganda is created and disseminated and extend existing theories of how authoritarian governments engage in propaganda, such as through distraction or flooding (King, Pan and Roberts 2017; Roberts 2018), by affecting the algorithmic decision-making (Lu and Pan 2022), and by employing more captivating or attention-grabbing content strategies (Lu and Pan 2021; Mattingly and Yao 2022). This paper reveals that the Chinese government has adapted to digital media by altering the organizational structure, personnel, information flow, and content strategies of its propaganda system.

While decentralization enables the regime to produce better and more diversified content, pre-

sumably to improve persuasiveness and audience engagement, the massive presence of the Chinese state on social media required for this may also signal the state's power (Huang 2015). Not all propaganda will be appealing or gain high audience engagement, but such content may nonetheless be visible to the public and remind them of the state's presence and power. In other words, these two roles of propaganda, for influence or persuasion and for signaling, can complement each other in a decentralized model.

These findings also deepen our understanding of decentralization in authoritarian contexts. Decentralization within authoritarian regimes is well-documented (Heilmann and Perry 2020; Landry 2008; Xu 2011). Authoritarian regimes, including the CCP, have implemented decentralization strategies across various domains. A notable example is the delegation of autonomy to local governments to foster economic growth and policy experimentation (Oi 1992; Maskin, Qian and Xu 2000; Montinola, Qian and Weingast 1995). However, decentralization poses significant challenges for regimes, such as moral hazard—ensuring that delegated agents exert effort and adhere to the regime's objectives—and negative externalities, resulting from intense competition among agents leading to overlooked adverse outcomes. When a decentralization model is applied for economic growth, monitoring agents can be challenging, and regimes often rely on proxies like GDP growth to gauge effort. In contrast, with social media, many effort-related metrics (e.g., number of posts, audience engagement) are publicly visible to both the principal and other users. Additionally, negative externalities, such as the proliferation of content that contradicts propaganda objectives, can be more easily monitored and addressed by the regime as needed.

Several limitations should be kept in mind when interpreting these results. First, our analysis relies primarily on data from regime-affiliated accounts, though we supplement this with trending videos from non-regime-affiliated accounts over a brief period. The degree to which video content from regime-affiliated accounts varies from that of non-government accounts remains unclear. Future research should collect representative data on non-government accounts to address this concern. In addition, there are likely social media accounts affiliated with the regime (e.g., accounts co-opted by the regime or accounts managed by private companies acting as government contractors) that we have not identified due to the non-public nature of these relationships. This indicates that the extent of regime activity identified in this paper is likely an underestimate. Second, social video platforms like Douyin have distinct features that set them apart from traditional media such as newspaper and TV programs, as well as from social network-based platforms like Weibo or WeChat, where text and still images are more pervasive. Some aspects of the new model we identify and describe in its paper may be specific to the video format. However, we anticipate that other facets of the decentralized propaganda model, e.g., personnel without access to professional media resources and training, a large volume of content, diverse content types, and multi-directional information flow, will be observable on other social media platforms prioritized by the Chinese government.

Lastly, although our focus is solely on China and authoritarian propaganda systems, the decentralized propaganda model may be relevant for other political contexts, including hybrid regimes and illiberal democracies (Levitsky and Way 2010; Zakaria 1997), and for both domestic and foreign influence. As digital media has fragmented audiences globally, the decentralized propaganda model may be relevant more generally for political actors—whether a government or political party-seeking to reach broad audiences. Importantly, this model does not necessitate direct government control over internet infrastructure, service providers, or content platforms, making it accessible in differing contexts. This includes governments that lack strong technical or economic control over digital technologies, as well as political parties that do not have access to state resources. The model primarily relies on the mobilization of content creators. While in China, which is a single-party regime, content creators are party and government insiders, in other regimes they may be partisans or private contractors sympathetic to the cause. In other words, the mobilization of a large and diverse corps of content creators is a commonality we would expect to see in decentralized propaganda systems in both China and beyond, but who is mobilized will vary by context. We hope future research will explore the decentralized propaganda model in other domestic contexts, such as India's right-wing Hindu nationalism (Udupa 2019), political trolls in the Philippines (Ong and Cabañes 2019), and Russia's domestic online propaganda efforts (Sobolev 2019), as well as across borders (Alizadeh et al. 2020).

## References

- Alhabash, Saleem and Mengyan Ma. 2017. "A Tale of Four Platforms: Motivations and Uses of Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and Snapchat among College Students?" *Social Media* + *Society* 3(1):2056305117691544.
- Alizadeh, Meysam, Jacob N Shapiro, Cody Buntain and Joshua A Tucker. 2020. "Content-based Features Predict Social Media Influence Operations." *Science Advances* 6(30):eabb5824.
- Bleck, Jaimie and Kristin Michelitch. 2017. "Capturing the Airwaves, Capturing the Nation? A Field Experiment on State-Run Media Effects in the Wake of a Coup." *The Journal of Politics* 79(3):873–889.
- Bolsover, Gillian and Philip Howard. 2019. "Chinese Computational Propaganda: Automation, Algorithms and the Manipulation of Information about Chinese Politics on Twitter and Weibo." *Information, Communication & Society* 22(14):2063–2080.
- Boyd, Danah. 2008. "Why Youth (Heart) Social Network Sites: The Role of Networked Publics in Teenage Social Life." Youth, Identity, And Digital Media, David Buckingham, Ed., The John D. And Catherine T. Macarthur Foundation Series On Digital Media And Learning pp. 2007–2016.
- Boyd, Danah and Kate Crawford. 2012. "Critical Questions for Big Data: Provocations for a Cultural, Technological, and Scholarly Phenomenon." *Information, Communication & Society* 15(5):662–679.
- Brady, Anne-Marie. 2009. *Marketing Dictatorship: Propaganda and Thought Work in Contemporary China*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Cantoni, Davide, Yuyu Chen, David Y Yang, Noam Yuchtman and Y Jane Zhang. 2017. "Curriculum and Ideology." *Journal of Political Economy* 125(2):338–392.
- Carter, Erin Baggott and Brett L Carter. 2021. "Propaganda and Protest in Autocracies." *Journal* of Conflict Resolution 65(5):919–949.
- Chadwick, Andrew. 2017. *The Hybrid Media System: Politics and Power*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Chadwick, Andrew, James Dennis and Amy P Smith. 2015. Politics in the Age of Hybrid Media: Power, Systems, and Media Logics. In *The Routledge Companion to Social Media and Politics*.

New York, NJ: Routledge pp. 7–22.

- Chen, Tao, Zhehao Liang, Hongtao Yi and Si Chen. 2023. "Responsive E-government in China: A Way of Gaining Public Support." *Government Information Quarterly* 40(3):101809.
- Chen, Yuyu and David Y Yang. 2019. "The Impact of Media Censorship: 1984 or Brave New World?" *American Economic Review* 109(6):2294–2332.
- Chen, Zifeng and Clyde Yicheng Wang. 2019. "The Discipline of Happiness: The Foucauldian Use of the "Positive Energy" Discourse in China's Ideological Works." *Journal of Current Chinese Affairs* 48(2):201–225.
- Dietrich, Bryce Jensen and Courtney L Juelich. 2018. "When Presidential Candidates Voice Party Issues, Does Twitter Listen?" *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion And Parties* 28(2):208–224.
- Dukalskis, Alexander and Johannes Gerschewski. 2017. "What Autocracies Say (and What Citizens Hear): Proposing Four Mechanisms of Autocratic Legitimation." *Contemporary Politics* 23(3):251–268.
- Earl, Jennifer, Thomas V Maher and Jennifer Pan. 2022. "The Digital Repression of Social Movements, Protest, and Activism: A Synthetic Review." *Science Advances* 8(10):eabl8198.
- Enikolopov, Ruben and Maria Petrova. 2015. Media Capture: Empirical Evidence. In *Handbook of Media Economics*. Vol. 1 pp. 687–700.
- Field, Anjalie, Doron Kliger, Shuly Wintner, Jennifer Pan, Dan Jurafsky and Yulia Tsvetkov. 2018. "Framing and Agenda-setting in Russian News: A Computational Analysis of Intricate Political Strategies." *Arxiv Preprint Arxiv:1808.09386*.
- Fletcher, Richard and Rasmus Kleis Nielsen. 2017. "Are News Audiences Increasingly Fragmented? A Cross-national Comparative Analysis of Cross-platform News Audience Fragmentation and Duplication." *Journal of Communication* 67(4):476–498.
- Gallagher, Mary and Blake Miller. 2021. "Who Not What: The Logic of China's Information Control Strategy." *The China Quarterly* 248(1):1011–1036.
- Gangadharbatla, Harsha. 2008. "Facebook Me: Collective Self-esteem, Need to Belong, and Internet Self-efficacy as Predictors of The Igeneration's Attitudes toward Social Networking Sites." *Journal of Interactive Advertising* 8(2):5–15.

Gehlbach, Scott and Konstantin Sonin. 2014. "Government Control of the Media." Journal of

Public Economics 118:163–171.

- Goel, Sharad, Ashton Anderson, Jake Hofman and Duncan J Watts. 2016. "The Structural Virality of Online Diffusion." *Management Science* 62(1):180–196.
- Gohdes, Anita R. 2024. *Repression in the Digital Age: Surveillance, Censorship, and the Dynamics of State Violence*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Guess, Andrew M, Neil Malhotra, Jennifer Pan, Pablo Barberá, Hunt Allcott, Taylor Brown, Adriana Crespo-Tenorio, Drew Dimmery, Deen Freelon, Matthew Gentzkow et al. 2023. "How do Social Media Feed Algorithms Affect Attitudes and Behavior in an Election Campaign?" *Science* 381(6656):398–404.
- Guriev, Sergei and Daniel Treisman. 2022. *Spin Dictators: The Changing Face of Tyranny in the 21st Century*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Heilmann, Sebastian and Elizabeth J Perry. 2020. Mao's Invisible Hand. Boston, MA: Brill.
- Huang, Haifeng. 2015. "Propaganda as Signaling." Comparative Politics 47(4):419-444.
- Huang, Haifeng. 2018. "The Pathology of Hard Propaganda." *The Journal of Politics* 80(3):1034–1038.
- Jowett, Garth S and Victoria O'donnell. 2018. *Propaganda & Persuasion*. Boston, MA: Sage Publications.
- Kang, Zhiqi, Christina Indudhara, Kaushik Mahorker, Erik P Bucy and Jungseock Joo. 2020. Understanding Political Communication Styles in Televised Debates via Body Movements. In *European Conference On Computer Vision*. New York, NJ: Springer pp. 788–793.
- Katz, Elihu, Jay G Blumler and Michael Gurevitch. 1973. "Uses and Gratifications Research." *The Public Opinion Quarterly* 37(4):509–523.
- King, Gary, Jennifer Pan and Margaret E Roberts. 2013. "How Censorship in China Allows Government Criticism but Silences Collective Expression." *American Political Science Review* 107(2):326–343.
- King, Gary, Jennifer Pan and Margaret E Roberts. 2017. "How the Chinese Government Fabricates Social Media Posts for Strategic Distraction, not Engaged Argument." *American Political Science Review* 111(3):484–501.
- Kordopatis-Zilos, Giorgos, Symeon Papadopoulos, Ioannis Patras and Ioannis Kompatsiaris. 2019.

Visil: Fine-grained Spatio-temporal Video Similarity Learning. In *Proceedings of The Ieee/cvf International Conference on Computer Vision*. pp. 6351–6360.

- Landry, Pierre F. 2008. *Decentralized Authoritarianism in China: The Communist Party's Control* of Local Elites in the Post-mao Era. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Levitsky, Steven and Lucan A Way. 2010. *Competitive Authoritarianism: Hybrid Regimes after the Cold War*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Little, Andrew T. 2017. "Propaganda and Credulity." *Games and Economic Behavior* 102:224–232.
- Looney, Kristen. 2020. *Mobilizing for Development: The Modernization of Rural East Asia*. Ithaca, NJ: Cornell University Press.
- Lu, Yingdan and Jennifer Pan. 2021. "Capturing Clicks: How the Chinese Government Uses Clickbait to Compete for Visibility." *Political Communication* 38(1-2):23–54.
- Lu, Yingdan and Jennifer Pan. 2022. "The Pervasive Presence of Chinese Government Content on Douyin Trending Videos." *Computational Communication Research* 4(1).
- Maskin, Eric, Yingyi Qian and Chenggang Xu. 2000. "Incentives, Information, and Organizational Form." *The Review Of Economic Studies* 67(2):359–378.
- Mattingly, Daniel C and Elaine Yao. 2022. "How Soft Propaganda Persuades." *Comparative Political Studies* 55(9):1569–1594.
- McMillan, John and Pablo Zoido. 2004. "How to Subvert Democracy: Montesinos in Peru." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 18(4):69–92.
- Montinola, Gabriella, Yingyi Qian and Barry R Weingast. 1995. "Federalism, Chinese Style: The Political Basis for Economic Success in China." *World Politics* 48(1):50–81.
- Nyhuis, Dominic, Tobias Ringwald, Oliver Rittmann, Thomas Gschwend and Rainer Stiefelhagen. 2021. *Handbook of Computational Social Science, Volume 2.* New York, NJ: Routledge.
- Oi, Jean C. 1992. "Fiscal Reform and the Economic Foundations of Local State Corporatism in China." *World Politics* 45(1):99–126.
- Ong, Jonathan Corpus and Jason Vincent Cabañes. 2019. "When Disinformation Studies Meets Production Studies: Social Identities and Moral Justifications in the Political Trolling Industry." *International Journal of Communication* 13:5771–5790.

- Pan, Jennifer. 2017. "How Market Dynamics of Domestic and Foreign Social Media Firms Shape Strategies of Internet Censorship." *Problems of Post-communism* 64(3-4):167–188.
- Pan, Jennifer. 2019. "How Chinese Officials Use the Internet to Construct Their Public Image." *Political Science Research and Methods* 7(2):197–213.
- Pan, Jennifer and Alexandra A. Siegel. 2020. "How Saudi Crackdowns Fail to Silence Online Dissent." American Political Science Review 114(1):109–125.
- Pan, Jennifer, Xu Xu and Yiqing Xu. 2023. "Disguised Repression: Targeting Opponents with Non-political Crimes to Undermine Dissent." *Available At Ssrn 4488481*.
- Pan, Jennifer, Zijie Shao and Yiqing Xu. 2021. "How Government-controlled Media Shifts Policy Attitudes through Framing." *Political Science Research and Methods* pp. 1–16.
- Peisakhin, Leonid and Arturas Rozenas. 2018. "Electoral Effects of Biased Media: Russian Television in Ukraine." *American Journal of Political Science* 62(3):535–550.
- Perry, Elizabeth, Grzegorz Ekiert and Xiaojun Yan. 2020. *Ruling by other Means: State-mobilized Movements*. New York, NJ: Cambridge University Press.
- Qin, Bei, David Strömberg and Yanhui Wu. 2018. "Media Bias in China." *American Economic Review* 108(9):2442–2476.
- Renieris, Elizabeth M. 2022. *Beyond Data: Reclaiming Human Rights at the Dawn of the Metaverse*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Repnikova, Maria and Kecheng Fang. 2019. "Digital Media Experiments in China: "Revolutionizing" Persuasion under Xi Jinping." *The China Quarterly* 239:679–701.
- Roberts, Margaret E. 2018. *Censored: Distraction and Diversion inside China's Great Firewall*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Scheufele, Dietram A. 2000. "Agenda-setting, Priming, and Framing Revisited: Another Look at Cognitive Effects of Political Communication." *Mass Communication & Society* 3(2-3):297– 316.
- Scoggins, Suzanne E. 2022. Propaganda and the Police: The Softer Side of State Control in China. In *Justice, Crime, and Citizenship in Eurasia*. Routledge pp. 200–220.
- Sobolev, Anton. 2019. "How Pro-government 'Trolls' Influence Online Conversations in Russia.". Starbird, Kate, Ahmer Arif and Tom Wilson. 2019. "Disinformation as Collaborative Work: Sur-

facing the Participatory Nature of Strategic Information Operations." *Proceedings of The Acm on Human-computer Interaction* 3(CSCW):1–26.

- Stockmann, Daniela. 2013. *Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Udupa, Sahana. 2019. "Nationalism in the Digital Age: Fun as a Metapractice of Extreme Speech." *International Journal of Communication* 13:3143–3163.
- Webster, James G and Thomas B Ksiazek. 2012. "The Dynamics of Audience Fragmentation: Public Attention in an Age of Digital Media." *Journal of Communication* 62(1):39–56.
- Whiting, Anita and David Williams. 2013. "Why People Use Social Media: A Uses and Gratifications Approach." *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* 16(4):362–369.
- Woolley, Samuel C. 2022. "Digital Propaganda: The Power of Influencers." *Journal of Democracy* 33(3):115–129.
- Xu, Chenggang. 2011. "The Fundamental Institutions of China's Reforms and Development." *Journal of Economic Literature* 49(4):1076–1151.
- Xu, Xu. 2021. "To Repress or to Co-opt? Authoritarian Control in the Age of Digital Surveillance." *American Journal of Political Science* 65(2):309–325.
- Zakaria, Fareed. 1997. "The Rise of Illiberal Democracy." Foreign Affairs 76:22.
- Zhao, Suisheng. 1998. "A State-led Nationalism: The Patriotic Education Campaign in Posttiananmen China." *Communist and Post-communist Studies* 31(3):287–302.

# Decentralized Propaganda in the Era of Digital Media: The Massive Presence of the Chinese State on Douyin

Yingdan Lu Jennifer Pan Xu Xu Yiqing Xu

## Supplemental information, for online publication only

## **Table of Contents**

A1 Account Identification     A1.1 Account Codebook	<b>1</b> 1
A2 Account Characteristics	3
A3 Video Similarity Analysis and Examples	4
A4 Video Categories	6
A5 Additional Results	8
A5.1 Regime-affiliated Accounts	8
A5.2 Information Flow	9
A5.3 Content Categories	12
A5.4 User Engagement	14
A5.5 Diverse Interests of Regime Account Followers	15

## A1 Account Identification

Chinese government reports claim there were 25,313 regime-affiliated accounts on Douyin as of June 2020.<sup>A1</sup> However, there are no lists documenting these accounts. To identify accounts affiliated with the Chinese government or the CCP, we start with 2,478 known regime-affiliated accounts whose videos were highlighted in Douyin's Trending Videos in 2020 (Lu and Pan 2022). Then, we identify all accounts these 2,478 accounts follow.<sup>A2</sup> This resulted in 114,689 unique accounts, among which 22,070 accounts were verified enterprise accounts, of which regime-affiliated accounts are a subset.<sup>A3</sup> Six trained, native Chinese speakers manually examined the detailed verification information in each account's metadata to identify 7,879 new regime-affiliated accounts form the 22,070 accounts following the coding scheme below. Then, we repeat this process, collecting the verification status of all accounts followed by the 7,879 regime-affiliated accounts and identifying additional new regime-affiliated accounts. From this process, we identify a total of 21,408 regime-affiliated accounts, which is close to the number reported in the 2020 government report.<sup>A4</sup>

## A1.1 Account Codebook

Task Description: For each Douyin user, search for their username on the Douyin mobile app and go to their profile page. Code each user's features as follows:

#### Q1: Which category does this account belong to? (account affiliation)

- 0: Ordinary User No verification or has fewer than 10,000 followers
- 1: Celebrity-related account Account with Yellow V (influential individuals) with verification information as a well-known artist, singer, actor, athlete, anchor, celebrity fan club beyond Douyin; examples: Zhang Xincheng (张新成), Zhang Jike (张继科), He Jiong (何炅), Jay Chou Youth Fan Club (周杰伦青春后援会), etc.
- 2: Creator/Blogger/Influencer account Account with more than 10,000 followers or verified as a Yellow V (influential individuals) who are not well-known artists, singers, actors, athlete, anchors, or celebrity fan club. Examples: Knife Little Knife sama (刀小刀sama), Sheep Sheep Sheep (羊羊羊), Teacher Xiang Bo (向波老师), etc.
- 3: Entertainment/Internet Company Account Account verified as entertainment accounts or We-media (Zimeiti). Examples: Hui Huo (会火), Mang Guo Big Star (芒果大明星), Ace vs. Ace (王牌对王牌), Maoyan Movie (猫眼电影), Migu Sports (咪咕体育), or accounts operated by tech companies such as Sina, NetEase, Sohu, Tencent, Baidu, Toutiao, and Douyin, etc.
- 4: Media Account Blue V (enterprise) verified accounts operated by a newspaper, magazine, radio, TV, new media, or news group. Examples: The Paper (澎湃新闻), China News Service (中国新闻网), Global Times (环球时报), People.cn (人民网), The Beijing News (新京报), Hubei Enshi TV Station (湖北恩施电视台),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A1</sup>"The 46th China Statistical Report on Internet Development." https://bit.ly/4gc4uOe Accessed on January 18, 2025. <sup>A2</sup>This was done in 2021. We focus on following networks instead of follower networks because regime-affiliated content creators are more likely to follow one another, whereas their follower networks might be extremely large and diverse.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A3</sup>We only include verified enterprise accounts in our analysis because official, regime-affiliated accounts all have enterprise verification. While there may be regime-affiliated accounts without enterprise verification, we err on the side of precision and only include verified accounts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A4</sup>Since the report is from 2020 and our account identification procedure was conducted in 2021, we do not expect the numbers to match perfectly.

Yi Chui Video (一槌视频), Yuanyang Road No. 1 (远洋路1号), Great Wall New Media (长城新媒体), Dahe Client (大河客户端), Caixin Time (财新时间), etc.

- 5: Government Account Blue V (enterprise) verified accounts operated by central and various levels of Chinese Communist Party or government offices, bureaus, departments, and affiliated organizations. Examples: The Central Committee of the Communist Youth League of China (共青团中央), Nanjing Release (南京发布), Chancheng Public Security (禅城公安), Ruijin City Integrated Media Center (瑞金市融媒体中心), Compulsory Rehabilitation Center (强制戒毒所), Peking University Youth League Committee (北京大学团委), firefighter, army, procuratorate, court, Scenic Area Management Committee (风景区管理委员会), Association for Science and Technology (科协), Anti-Drug Association (禁毒协会), Anti-Fraud Center (反诈骗中心), Women's Federation (妇联), Emergency Management Center (应急管理中心), Lottery Management Center (彩票管理中心), Vehicle Management Office (车管所), etc.
- 6: Other Accounts that do not fall into any of the above types. Examples: Meimei Household Store (美美日用百货), Chef Dong's Homemade Dishes (董小厨家常菜), Wanzhou Grilled Fish (万州烤鱼), The Palace Museum (故宫博物院), Korea Tourism Organization Shanghai Office (韩国旅游发展局上海办事处), etc.

If Q1 is coded as 4 (Media account):

**Q2:** Is the media state-owned? (State-owned media accounts are those directly managed by the central, provincial, county, or other local governments, e.g., party newspapers and journals, morning and evening newspapers, metropolitan newspapers, radio and TV stations, county media groups, county websites)

- 0: No
- 1: Yes

If Q2 is coded as 1 (State-owned media):

Q2.1: According to the account verification information, which administrative level is this media outlet affiliated with? (Select the lowest administrative level)

- County level and below: County, Autonomous County, County-level City, District, Banner, Forestry Area, Special Zone, Provincial Direct-Managed County/District
- Prefecture/City level: Prefecture-level City, Autonomous Prefecture, League, Deputy Provincial-Level City/District
- Provincial level: Province, Provincial-level City
- Central level

Q2.2: Provname - Please fill in the name of the province where the media is located, e.g., Guizhou Province (贵州省), Shanghai (上海市).

**Q2.3: Cityname - Please fill in the name of the city where the media is located**, e.g., Shenyang City (沈阳市), Dali City (大理市).

**Q2.4: Countyname - Please fill in the full name of the county where the media is located**, e.g., Xiapu County (霞浦县), Abag Banner (阿巴嘎旗).

If Q1 is coded as 5 (Government account):

Q3: According to the account verification information, which governmental organization / department is this account affiliated with?

- 0: Government administrative offices
- 1: Government information/news centers
- 2: Government multimedia centers
- 3: Propaganda departments/divisions
- 4: Cyberspace Administration of China (CAC)

- 5: Security apparatus, e.g., police, military, court, prison, or affiliated units such as the local compulsory drug rehabilitation center
- 6: Firefighting system/emergency management system
- 7: Communist Youth League Organizations, e.g., Central Committee of the Youth League, Shenzhen University Youth League Committee
- 8: Culture / Travel, e.g., Tourism Bureau, Scenery Management Committee, Bureau of Culture, Travel, and Tourism.
- 9: Other Government Committees/Offices/Bureaus/Divisions, e.g., Political and Legal Affairs Commission, Ministry of Education, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 10: Others (non-departmental organizations but affiliated with the government), e.g., Associations for Science and Technology, Women's Federation, Trade Union, etc.

# Q3.1: According to the account verification information, which administrative level is this government account affiliated with? (Select the lowest administrative level)

- County level and below: County, Autonomous County, County-level City, District, Banner, Forestry Area, Special Zone, Provincial Direct-Managed County/District
- Prefecture/City level: Prefecture-level City, Autonomous Prefecture, League, Deputy Provincial-Level City/District
- Provincial level: Province, Provincial-level City
- Central level
- Others: governmental organizations or those not affiliated with any administrative level, e.g., Peking University Communist Youth League, police influencer, etc.

Q3.2: Provname - Please fill in the name of the province where the media is located, e.g., Guizhou Province (贵州省), Shanghai (上海市).

Q3.3: Cityname - Please fill in the name of the city where the media is located, e.g., Shenyang City (沈阳市), Dali City (大理市).

Q3.4: Countyname - Please fill in the full name of the county where the media is located, e.g., Xiapu County (霞浦县), Abag Banner (阿巴嘎旗).

## A2 Account Characteristics

Administrative level: We consider central-level accounts to occupy central positions, while provincial, prefecture/city, and county level accounts are deemed peripheral. There are three reasons for this. First, within a bureaucratic hierarchy, central-level departments naturally serve as principals at the center, whereas their local branches act as agents on the periphery. Second, certain central-level entities, such as media outlets, presses, and propaganda departments, have traditionally been at the core of propaganda efforts in China. In the broadcast era, content from the central level was often syndicated by lower levels. Third, central-level bureaucracies in China are generally better resourced than their lower-level counterparts, which means they have more funds to hire personnel and produce content.

Functional bureaucracy: State media includes state-owned newspapers, television stations and programs, radio, website, social media accounts, and state-controlled media organizations. Propaganda departments include propaganda bureaus, government information/news/multimedia centers, and Cyberspace Administration of China (CAC). Government administrative offices include offices at all levels, e.g., the CCP Municipal Committee Office in Baiyin Municipality (中 共白银市委办公室). Security apparatus includes police, military, court, prison, or affiliated units

such as the local compulsory drug rehabilitation center. Firefighters include the firefighting system and emergency management system (应急管理系统). Local Communist Youth League include leagues in all localities. Culture / Travel departments include the tourism bureau, scenery management center, Bureau of Culture, Travel, and Tourism, departments of Press and Publication. Other departments include the Political and Legal Affairs Commission, local Centers for Disease Control and Prevention agencies, and local departments of market supervision. Other accounts are non-departmental organizations such as local associations for science and technology and Women's Federations.

## A3 Video Similarity Analysis and Examples

We applied the PyTorch implementation of ViSiL on each central-local video pair or central-central video pair to facilitate the video-to-video comparison.

If ViSiL similarity score is 0.75 or above, we consider the two videos to be a match (see example video comparisons). We derived this threshold through human validation of 1,000 video pairs. This threshold produced the highest accuracy (0.85) and highest F1 score (0.70).



FIGURE A1. VISIL SIMILARITY SCORE BETWEEN VIDEOS: 0.98



FIGURE A2. VISIL SIMILARITY SCORE BETWEEN VIDEOS: 0.75



FIGURE A3. VISIL SIMILARITY SCORE BETWEEN VIDEOS: 0.51



FIGURE A4. VISIL SIMILARITY SCORE BETWEEN VIDEOS: 0.01

## A4 Video Categories

**Party-line:** This category includes three sub-categories of videos pertaining to 1) CCP ideology, 2) activities of central-level CCP leaders, and 3) videos related to performance legitimacy. Ideology includes content containing central government or CCP ideology such as videos describing "Marxism ideological mobilization," "Socialism and revolutionary culture," and "Core Socialist Values." This category includes videos packaged as soft propaganda, for example a video titled "University teacher teaches the history of the Party: in emotional part, she burst into tears" (大学教师讲党 史: 讲到动情之处, 她潸然泪下). Content focused on central-level CCP leaders portray these leaders in a positive light and documents their speeches and activities. For example, we include in this category, the video titled "Xi Jinping Xi confers flag to China's police force and speaks at the ceremony" (习近平向中国人民警察队伍授旗并致训词). The last sub-category of performance legitimacy contains content related to macroeconomic performance and development, such as GDP growth, poverty alleviation, rural revitalization, construction projects at the central and local, and government meetings and routine activities. This content aligns with a prior literature on image building among Chinese officials as the country focused on economic performance (Cai 2004; Pan 2019). An example in this category is the video titled: "A year-on-year growth of 16.8%! 329.8 billion yuan was invested in transportation fixed assets in August" (同比增长16.8%! 8月份, 完 成交通固定资产投资3298亿元。).

**Nationalism:** Nationalism, including nationalism propaganda, has received a great deal of attention in studies of Chinese politics (Schneider 2018; Mattingly and Yao 2022; Weiss 2014; Zhang, Liu and Wen 2018). Three sub-categories make up this category. The first covers videos that heightens in-group solidarity, such as videos memorializing the "War of Chinese People's Resistance Against Japanese Aggression." The second sub-category includes content describing the scientific or cultural major achievements at the national level, such as the launch of space stations, the exploration of cultural relics, and the innovations of military weapons. The third sub-

category contains content that denigrates out-groups—people, governments, political systems—in another country or region who are portrayed as ineffective, corrupt, unstable, and polarized. For example, one such video is titled "Heartbroken! A 10-year-old girl broke down and cried after hearing the #Floyd incident: 'I may die because of my skin color'." (心碎! 美10岁女孩听到#弗洛伊德事件后崩溃大哭: "我可能会因为我的肤色而死").

Moral society: Moral society content focuses on the good in people's daily lives and indirectly implies that the regime is responsible for producing such outcomes (see example videos with frames in Figure A5. This category contains three sub-categories that emphasize the good in people's daily lives: 1) morality of officials and security personnel, 2) morality of ordinary people, and 3) punishment for immoral behaviors. The morality of officials / security personnel category includes content shows how Chinese government officials, police officers, firefighters, soldiers at different administrative levels are helping ordinary people and society as a whole. For example, the video "Zhongshan police cracked a big case involving 200 million!" (中山警方破获大案,涉 案金额2亿!) shows the competence of the police in the Zhongshan City. Another video, "Under the hailstorm, the hug of the policeman is so warm" (漫天冰雹下,警察蜀黍的怀抱好温暖), shows how kind and personable police officers are. The second sub-category focuses on interactions between ordinary people (rather than between representatives of the regime and the public), showing how ordinary people conform to social ethics, professional ethics, family virtues, and personal virtues, together contributing to a moral society. For example, the video "14-year-old boy donates his bone marrow to save his father!" (14岁少年捐髓救父!) shows this dynamic within a family. The video, "Respect! The bus driver suffered a heart attack and stopped the bus before falling into a coma!"(致敬! 公交司机突发心梗, 昏迷前全力停车!), highlights everyday heroism.

Announcements & Guidance: This categories includes information provided by the government with relevance to people's everyday lives, including government announcements, practices, policies, as well as suggestions for how people should go about their lives. This type of content includes videos publicizing beneficial local policies, for example, "Pensions for retirees rose by 4.5%!" (退休人员基本养老金涨4.5%!), as well as actions by the government that will have broad impact, e.g., "Notice! Power cuts will happen in the following places in Xuanwei! Please inform others" (注意! 宣威这些地方即将停电! 请互相转告). Finally, content in this category also includes knowledge and general advice, such as the video "Is regular consumption of MSG harmful to the body?" (经常食用味精会对身体有害吗?).

Entertainment: This category includes three sub-categories of videos: 1) entertainment content; 2) local advertising content; and 3) negative sensational content. Examples include videos such as "Disco dance of an old man in a Hebei park" (河北大爷公园蹦迪舞姿). Local advertising content includes content promoting local sights, foods, resources, or any local claims to fame. For example, the video "The taste of childhood in Dancheng" (丹城小时候的味道) shows how people are enjoying Dancheng street foods. The negative sensational content includes negative news or events that are appealing or threatening, such as "Five dead in a store fire accident in Luyi" (鹿邑一门店发生火灾致5人死亡).

**Other content:** Finally, we have a residual category that includes social news that are not negatively sensational nor entertaining, such as "U.S. study: New coronavirus may have mutated to make humans more susceptible to infection" (美研究:新冠病毒或已发生突变,使人类更容易受感染); or other videos such as commercial advertisements and lottery drawings.



FIGURE A5. MORAL SOCIETY VIDEO EXAMPLES

Note: Each video was segmented into frames by second.

## A5 Additional Results

## A5.1 Regime-affiliated Accounts

Figure A6 shows the following relationships between accounts in our dataset by administrative level. The vertical axis indicates target accounts (who is followed) at each administrative level, and the horizontal axis indicates source accounts (who is doing the following) at each level. The diagonal cells from the lower left corner to upper right corner reflect the proportion of accounts of that level followed by accounts at the same level within each administrative level (e.g., central accounts follow central accounts; local accounts follow local accounts). The off-diagonal cells indicate following relationships across administrative levels. For example, the cell (Central Accounts, Province Accounts) represents the proportion of central accounts that follow at least one province account.) The denser the following relationship, the darker the cell. The most dense following relationships fall along the diagonal. This suggests that government accounts are more likely to follow accounts at the same administrative level than across different levels and that accounts are not organized hierarchically (in an hierarchical organization, we would observe denser following relationships from lower administrative levels to upper administrative levels under a hierarchical structure—i.e., the bottom four cells would need to be darker than other cells).



FIGURE A6. PROPORTION OF ACCOUNTS AT EACH LEVEL THAT FOLLOW ACCOUNTS AT DIFFERENT LEVELS

### **A5.2** Information Flow

Figure A7 which shows the percentage of central videos that have local matches on each sampled day, indicates that a minority of central videos have local matches. Table A1 shows the total number of local-level videos entered in video similarity analysis and the percentage of local videos with central matches, demonstrating that few local videos have central matches.

Local Admin Level	Number of videos	%Videos with central
		matches (0.75 threshold)
Province level	832,339	10.8%
City level	1,240,972	10.0%
County level	956,834	10.0%

TABLE A1. PERCENTAGE OF LOCAL VIDEOS WITH CENTRAL VIDEO MATCHES

To go a step further and analyze what type of content from local levels match that of the center, we examine the three dates where local-level matches of central level videos are highest, as well as an additional date—April 29, 2021 when China's Tianhe space station launched—when we might expect to see more top-down dissemination of content (see Table A2). For the Tianhe space station launch, we qualitatively analyzed all local-level videos to determine what proportion of video match central-level video, and for the other three dates, we watched the most copied central videos as well as a sample of local videos. Two of the dates—July 12, 2020 and May 22, 2021— are dates with emergent events, by which we mean events that were not organized by the CCP. For the other two dates, events are those spear-headed and potentially coordinated by the CCP.



FIGURE A7. HISTOGRAM OF CENTRAL VIDEOS COPIED BY LOCAL-LEVEL ACCOUNTS

Event	Date	Attribute	Gov't level	% of Matched videos
			Province	19.8%
Multiple accidents	Jul 12, 2020	Emergent	City	23.3%
			County	29.6%
			Province	24.1%
Yuan Longping's death	May 22, 2021	Emergent	City	29.7%
			County	36.9%
			Province	20.0%
Commendation conference for	Sep 8, 2020	CCP-led	City	21.7%
national response to Covid-19			County	25.1%
			Province	9.6%
Tianhe space station launched	April 29, 2021	CCP-led	City	7.7%
			County	7.5%

TABLE A2. PERCENTAGE OF LOCAL VIDEOS MATCHED WITH CENTRAL VIDEOS BY EVENT

On July 12, 2020, when nearly 30% of videos produced by county-level accounts match content produced by central-level accounts, several events occurred. These include a 5.1-magnitude earthquake in Tangshan, Hebei province, a flood in Hubei province, and a bus crash in Anshan, Guizhou province. Three out of the five videos released by the high-profile, central-level Douyin account of *People's Daily* and three out of five videos released by the Douyin account of another central-level flagship media outlet, CCTV News, were about these events. In addition to providing context about these events and showcasing government actions, videos focus put a positive spin on these disasters. For example, the Douyin account of Global Times, another central-level flagship media outlet, released a video, which gained over 60,000 likes, entitled "A 20-year-old soldier is celebrating their birthday on the flood control embankment. You've been working so hard. Happy birthday!"



FIGURE A8. VIDEOS CREATED BY THE CENTRAL-LEVEL

Note: images based on a frame being extracted from the video every second.

On May 22, 2021, when nearly 40% of videos produced by county-level accounts and over 30% of video produced by city-level accounts match content produced by central-level accounts, Yuan Longping, a Chinese agricultural economist known as the "Father of Hybrid Rice," passed away at age 91. This emergent event triggered heavy coverage and mourning by state media and government departments at all levels. Two out of the three videos released by People's Daily and four out of six videos released by CCTV News were about Yuan's death on May 22. A few central-level videos were extensively copied by local-level accounts, including Video A in Figure A8, a video created by the *Global People* (环球人物), an account managed by People's Daily, celebrating Yuan's achievements that appeared 602 times in local-level accounts in our dataset.

Turning to CCP-led events, on September 8, 2020, the Commendation Conference for China's National Response to Covid-19 was held at the Great Hall of the People in Beijing. Xi Jinping awarded medals to healthcare experts such as Zhong Nanshan and Zhang Boli, and delivered a

formal address. On this day, nine videos released by People's Daily covered the event. For example, one video (see Video B in Figure A8) captures the award ceremony where each recipient walks up to Xi to receive their medal. The video ends with a group photo of all awardees with Xi, accompanied by the caption, "In the name of the nation, we salute the heroes of the fight against the pandemic." (以国之名, 致敬抗疫英雄). Some local Douyin accounts used clips of this People's Daily video, such as Xi awarding medals, to create their own videos. However, other local accounts used the original video in its entirety, only adding captions to praise and memorialize those who were recognized at the event.

Finally, on April 29, 2021, China launched the core module of its Tianhe space station. This is a CCP-led, mega achievement highly covered by central state media, which we might have expected lower-level accounts to copy in a traditional broadcast model. On April 29, three out of the four videos released by People's Daily Douyin account and four out of the six videos released by CCTV News Douyin account were about the Tianhe launch. One video example (Video C in Figure A8) captured the rocket's launch from countdown to ignition. The video begins with Liao Guorui, the launch commander at the Wenchang Spacecraft Launch Site, counting down, and then shows different angles of the launch, culminating with a powerful roar. High-energy background music emphasizes the sense of pride in this national achievement. After our team of research assistants watched 17,887 videos produced by local-level accounts on this day, we only found 744 videos (4%) covering this event. Among the 6,186 local-government accounts that posted propaganda content on April 29, only 577 accounts reported this event. This is striking because the Tainhe launch is a highly managed pseudo-event, meaning that it is planned and scripted by the regime, making it an event that is easily re-broadcast. In addition, compared with the central state media Douyin videos, most of which present live broadcasts of the launch, videos by local accounts are more diverse. For example, one province-level state-media account, Sichuan Guancha (四川观察), managed by Sichuan Province Television, posts a video showing how a kindergarten girl wanted to give her lunch to the astronauts after watching the live launch. This video also appears on two other city-level accounts and one county-level account. Another video created by Xiangtan Zaixian (湘潭在线), the Douyin account managed by the Xiangtan Daily Newspaper, shows how the ultra-high-temperature-tolerant cables created by a Xiangtan company contributed to the successful launch of the Tianhe Space Station. The diversity of the content created by local accounts under the topic of the Tianhe Launch provides further evidence original content creation by lower levels.

#### A5.3 Content Categories

One may be concerned that higher engagement with content copied by central accounts from local accounts occurs because these central accounts select entertainment content rather than propaganda to boost engagement. To explore this possibility, we analyzed 3,070 videos produced by central accounts from within our random sample of 18,571 hand-labeled videos. Figure A9 reveals that the content central accounts copied from local accounts contains more propaganda, especially moral society content, than the original content from central accounts. This indicates that central accounts are not simply copying entertainment content from local levels, but propaganda content.

Figure A10 breaks down the video category by an account's functional affiliation. Figure A10 shows a clear dominance of content pertaining to moral society among firefighter accounts (72%) and security apparatus accounts (61%). Communist Youth League accounts also have a high pro-





FIGURE A9. CONTENT CATEGORIES BY CENTRAL ORIGIN VS. CENTRAL VIDEOS OF LOCAL ORIGIN

portion (43%) of videos portraying a moral society.

Figure A11 shows the video category for videos from central-level compared to local-level accounts.

Figure A12 shows the video category for videos from security and firefighting account compared to culture and travel related accounts.



FIGURE A10. CONTENT CATEGORIES BY ACCOUNT TYPE

## A5.4 User Engagement

Table A3 shows median engagement with videos in different content categories and Table A4 shows the mean engagement with videos in different content categories.

Video category	Number of likes	Number of comments	Number of reshares
Party-line propaganda	80	1	4
Nationalism	542	6	7
Moral Society	417	8	6
Announcements/policies/guidance on life	105	2	5
Entertainment/sensational	195	6	5
Other content	140	3	4

TABLE A3. ENGAGEMENT WITH VIDEOS BY CONTENT CATEGORY (MEDIAN)

Figure A13 shows likes, comments, and reshares for regime-created trending videos compared to non-regime, non-propaganda videos, indicating that engagement is similar for likes and reshares. Regime-created videos have lower comments than non-regime, non-propaganda videos.



FIGURE A11. DISAGGREGATED CONTENT CATEGORIES FOR CENTRAL-LEVEL VIDEOS (LEFT) AND LOCAL-LEVEL VIDEOS (RIGHT)



FIGURE A12. DISAGGREGATED CONTENT CATEGORIES OF SECURITY/FIREFIGHTER VIDEOS (LEFT) AND CULTURAL/TRAVEL VIDEOS (RIGHT)

## A5.5 Diverse Interests of Regime Account Followers

Figure A14 displays a word cloud of keywords representing on categorization of the interests of a sample followers of 53 regime-affiliated account. The size of each keyword corresponds to the proportion of users in the sample with that interest. The word cloud is generated as follows. First, we

Video category	Number of likes	Number of comments	Number of reshares
Party-line propaganda	7,878.5	106.7	143.8
Nationalism	37,505.5	428.3	249.7
Moral Society	16,747.6	379.1	198.0
Announcements/policies/guidance on life	3,421.6	122.6	221.4
Entertainment/sensational	6,765.3	214.0	184.2
Other content	7,675.9	219.1	165.0

TABLE A4. ENGAGEMENT WITH VIDEOS BY CONTENT CATEGORY (MEAN)



FIGURE A13. ENGAGEMENT COMPARISON BETWEEN REGIME-CREATED TRENDING VS. NON-REGIME, NON-PROPAGANDA TRENDING VIDEOS

randomly sampled 110 regime accounts, within which 53 accounts have observable followers on Douyin. Then, for each account, we randomly selected 10 followers, resulting in a sample of 465 users who follow regime accounts and whose Douyin account is publicly viewable. Second, one Mandarin-speaking research assistant reviewed the timeline of each user in the sample, documenting videos posted and liked by each user and describing each user's interests in Chinese. Third, we translated these descriptions using the DeepL API, and cleaned the data by removing unnecessary information. Fourth, we created mutually exclusive, comprehensive, and focused categories/tags based on Facebook's interest list,<sup>A5</sup> recording the tags for each user. We then merged similar categories, ensuring mutual exclusivity and that each category represented at least three users, resulting in 123 categories. Finally, we generate a word cloud based on these mutually exclusive categories.

It is important to note that there are various ways to create mutually exclusive categories of interests, and each of the categories shown in Figure A14 may represent multiple interests. In other words, users we have identified as sharing an interest in photography may not all be in one or the same online niche community. Within this category of photography, there is travel photography such as personal moments of children walking or traveling, scenic views such as cherry blossoms. There is also self-portrait photography including selfies as well as personal portraits enhanced with filters or AI effects, often shared as part of daily activities, including themes of literature, art, and food. This category also includes nature photography capturing natural landscapes and wildlife,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A5</sup>https://interestexplorer.io/facebook-interests-list/



# FIGURE A14. WORD CLOUD OF INTERESTS OF FOLLOWERS OF REGIME-AFFILIATED ACCOUNTS

alongside family moments like selfies with spouses or nature-themed photos, as well as family photography focuses on family life, including moments such as driving with loved ones or documenting weddings. Finally, photography includes event photography showcasing special events such as weddings and festivals, along with more casual everyday moments such as celebrity sightings. Similarly, within the parenting category, users' interests are widely varied. Content ranges from daily family routines, with videos of children playing, building, interacting with family, to children's celebrations, such as birthdays or holidays. Videos in the parenting category also document children's growth and milestones, such as school events and first steps, and capture family bonding activities, such as outings to zoos or vlogs about family adventures. Additionally, parenting videos include content related to children's education and learning, highlighting extracurricular activities, tutoring, and moments at school. Altogether, this support the conclusion that followers of regime-affiliated accounts have diverse and fragmented interests.

## References

- Cai, Yongshun. 2004. "Irresponsible State: Local Cadres and Image-building in China." *Journal of Communist Studies and Transition Politics* 20(4):20–41.
- Lu, Yingdan and Jennifer Pan. 2022. "The Pervasive Presence of Chinese Government Content on Douyin Trending Videos." *Computational Communication Research* 4(1).
- Mattingly, Daniel C and Elaine Yao. 2022. "How Soft Propaganda Persuades." *Comparative Political Studies* 55(9):1569–1594.
- Pan, Jennifer. 2019. "How Chinese Officials Use The Internet To Construct Their Public Image." *Political Science Research and Methods* 7(2):197–213.
- Schneider, Florian. 2018. China's Digital Nationalism. Oxford University Press.
- Weiss, Jessica Chen. 2014. *Powerful Patriots: Nationalist Protest in China's Foreign Relations*. Oxford University Press.
- Zhang, Yinxian, Jiajun Liu and Ji-Rong Wen. 2018. "Nationalism On Weibo: Towards A Multifaceted Understanding of Chinese Nationalism." *The China Quarterly* 235:758–783.